

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/733,848	Applicant(s) BYRNE ET AL.	
	Examiner Simon Sing	Art Unit 2645	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Simon Sing. (3) _____

(2) Mr. Tom Fiala. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 June 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gergic et al. US 20020198719.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Fiala raised the issue that Gergic is not a prior art because the Provisional Application (60251085), which the priority date was based, does not have the same disclosure which was used to reject the current application. Examiner agreed with Mr. Fiala after a review of the Provisional Application, and the final rejection was withdrawn..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required